

SUN EXPOSURE AND ASSOCIATED RISKS IN 17 COUNTRIES: RESULTS AMONG «AT-RISK» POPULATION



T. PASSERON¹, B. DRENO², S. PUIG³, C.L. GOH⁴, H.Y. KANG⁵, F. LY⁶, A. MORITA⁷, J. OCAMPO CANDIANI⁸, S. SCHALKA⁹, L. WEI¹⁰, A.L. DEMESSANT¹¹, C. LE FLOC'H¹¹, D. KEROB¹¹, H.W. LIM¹², J. KRUTMANN¹³

¹Department of Dermatology, Côte d'Azur University, Nice University Hospital Center, INSERM U1065, C3M, Nice, France. ²Nantes Université, Univ Angers, INSERM, Immunology and New Concepts in ImmunoTherapy, INCIT, UMR 1302. F-44000 Nantes, France ³Melanoma Unit, Dermatology Department, Barcelona University Hospital Clinic, Barcelona, Spain. ⁴National Skin Centre, Singapore. ⁵Department of Dermatology, Ajou University School of Medicine, Suwon, South Korea. ⁶Department of Dermatology, Cheikh Anta Diop Dakar University, EPS Institute of Social Hygiene, Dakar, Senegal. ⁷Department of Geriatric and Environmental Dermatology, Nagoya City University Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Nagoya, Japan. ⁸Department of Dermatology, Medical Faculty University Hospital of Nuevo Leon, Monterrey, Mexico. ⁹Medcin Skin Research Center and Biochemistry Department, Chemistry Institute of Sao Paulo University, Sao Paulo, Brazil. ¹⁰Department of Dermatology, The General Hospital of Air Force PLA, Beijing, China. ¹¹La Roche Posay Laboratoire Dermatologique, Levallois, France. ¹²Department of Dermatology, Henry Ford Health, Detroit, MI, USA. ¹³UF Leibniz Research Institute for Environmental Medicine, Dusseldorf, Germany Medical Faculty, Heine-University, Dusseldorf, Germany

INTRODUCTION :

This survey investigates knowledge and behaviors regarding sun exposure among at risk-population compared to population without any medical skin history.

METHODS :

The survey (N= 17,001) was conducted online in 17 countries (5 continents) from 28 September-18 October 2021. Automated selection from the Ipsos online Panel ensured samples of 1,000 individuals/country fit the quotas method based on gender, age, employment status, and country regions. Data covered demographics, phototype, exposure habits and practices, knowledge and understanding of risks. "At-risk" sub-population was defined as individuals with a history of melanoma/non melanoma skin cancer, pre-cancerous lesions, photodermatosis, or currently on photosensitive or immunosuppressive drugs.

RESULTS :

The at-risk population (n=2114) comprised 52% men, average age was 48 years (SD:16.9) and 56% were of phototype 1-2. The population without any past medical skin history in comparison were slightly younger (44.1 years; SD:16.1) and less represented in phototype 1-2 (46%). In terms of medical monitoring, 49% of at-risk population had their moles checked at least once a year by a dermatologist vs 12% of the population without any past medical skin history.

Despite their medical history, 59% of at-risk population cannot imagine coming back from holidays without being tanned (vs 48%). Besides, they are more likely to say that a tan makes a person healthy (72% vs 62%). However, 90% of at-risk population were aware of sun-related skin-health issues (vs 88%). Similarly, at-risk population were more aware of the skin aging risk (87% vs 81%).

In terms of photoprotection, 40% of at-risk population said they protected all year round, vs 21%. During sun exposure, 27% of at-risk population systematically/often used all protections measures vs 10%. Nevertheless, among those who applied sunscreen, only 26% of at-risk population applied every two hours or more often, this low frequency habit was exactly the same among population without any medical skin history. At-risk population have expressed more regret compared to the population without medical skin history: 79% regretted not having previously used better protection in the past vs 54%.

At-risk population shows better knowledge in sun rays: however, still 54% did not understand the difference between UVA and UVB vs 73%. Furthermore, at-risk population have acknowledged the relationship between photoprotection behaviors and the risk of developing skin cancer: the lack of protection during sun exposure (83% vs 75%), chronic exposure (82% vs 73%) and severe sunburns during childhood or adolescence (77% vs 60%).

CONCLUSION :

Although at-risk individuals had better knowledge and sun protection practice, this survey provides insight into the need for additional photoprotection education.

